Questions and Answers.

MESSRS. K. T. SATHYANARAYANA SETTY and N. M. SIVALINGAPPAIYA, (Kadur Taluk).—

Q.—19. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) How many years have elapsed since the donation was made by Pandit Gopalacharlu for advancing the cause of *Ayurveda*?

(2) Has he offered any conditions under which the money should be spent? If so, what are they?

(3) During the Birthday Session of the Assembly, as an answer to Question No. 39 (2) Government have said that the matter is under active consideration in connection with the re-organisation of the Mysore Ayurvedic College; but will the Government be pleased to state what has been so far done in the matter?

(4) What is the actual amount of interest accrued every year on the amount invested by the Pandit?

(5) Will Government expedite in fulfilling the object of the donor?

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—19. (1) Three years nearly.

(2) The conditions were stated by the donor to the following effect:—

"The amount shall be delivered to the Mysore Government so as to be utilized according to their discretion, for the remuneration, etc., of a Pandit (Chair) in the Ayurvedic College now established by the said Government in the City of Mysore or for research work in the said College, or for other purposes of the College."

(3) The receipt of certain information from experts which Government require for arriving at a decision in the matter is awaited.

		Rs.	a.	p.
(4) Interest for	1924-25 ..	519	10	0
Do	1925-26 ..	1,000	3	2
Do	1926-27 ..	962	10	10

(5) Yes.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. K. T. Sathyanarayana Setty.—

With reference to Answer (2), although the conditions of the endowment are specific, is it proper to delay the matter ?

Second Member of Council.—

The delay is due to the fact that it has not so far been possible to settle the lines on which the college should be re-organised.

Mr. K. T. Sathyanarayana Setty.—

With reference to Answer (3) may I know who are the experts consulted?

Second Member of Council.—

Those who are competent to advise Government in this matter.

Mr. K. T. Sathyanarayana Setty.—

Are attempts made to get all the required information and has it been received?

Second Member of Council.—

Yes; some more information is awaited.

Mr. K. T. Sathyanarayana Setty.—

How long would it take?

Second Member of Council.—

It is not possible to fix any definite period.

Mr. K. T. Sathyanarayana Setty.—

Will the Government assure the Assembly that necessary action will be taken as early as possible?

Second Member of Council.—

Yes.

Mr. M. Ramaswami.—

When this question came up in 1926, did not Government say that the matter would be definitely settled before the ensuing year?

Second Member of Council. —

I do not think so.

Mr. M. Ramaswami.—

When once Government have accepted the policy of establishing Ayurvedic dispensaries in the rural areas, why

should there be delay and why did they allow the provision of Rs. 12,000 made in the Budget to lapse?

Second Member of Council.—

There are difficulties in deciding upon the lines of expansion.

Mr. K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar.—

What is the information referred to in Answer (3)?

Second Member of Council.—

All the information and advice which Government consider necessary to arrive at a decision.

Mr. K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar.—

What is the difficulty in fixing the pay of the Pandit?

Second Member of Council.—

The fixing of pay depends on the re-organisation of the college.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar.—

Who are the experts and where are they?

Dewan.—

They are in Calcutta.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar.—

Are Government aware that Capt. G. Srinivasamurthi has developed the Ayurvedic College in Madras and that it is quite popular?

Second Member of Council.—

Government are aware that there is a college at Madras.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar.—

Is it not over 14 months since the necessary information has been received from him?

Second Member of Council.—

This Doctor has kindly complied with our request and given us his views in the matter.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar.—

Are not the materials required here the same as those in Madras?

Second Member of Council.—

We are concerned with the problem as we find it here.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar.—

Is it not a fact that the Assembly has been pressing for the extension of the Ayurvedic system in the rural areas?

Second Member of Council.—

Yes.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

With reference to Answer (4), what is the cause of the large disparity in the amount of interest realised?

Second Member of Council.—

Reduction in the Savings Bank rate of interest.

MESSRS. K. T. SATHYANARAYANA SETTY and N. M. SIVALINGAPPAIYA (Kadur Taluk).—

Q.—20. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) Under what circumstances was the Large Landed Estate Scheme abolished and what are the reasons for the same?

(2) Was the matter of abolition of the said scheme brought before this House for opinion?

(3) How many applicants were given lands since the introduction of the scheme?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—20. (1) The reasons for the abolition of the scheme are to be found in G.O. No. R. 8191-205—L.R. 17-26-9, dated the 7th June 1927, of which a copy will be furnished to the member if required.

(2) No.

(3) Twenty-eight applications were sanctioned under the scheme up to the end of January 1926.